Congress Defies Supreme Court Orders & Creates National Civilian Firearms Training Bans!



Armed Scholar
626K subscribers

296,567 views Feb 10, 2024

In this video I break down a concerning national bill aiming to ban people from training.

Transcript

0:00

how's it going everybody we have some

0:01

concerning news that I need to bring to

0:02

you guys a new bill has been introduced

0:05

into Congress which is a paramilitary

0:07

bill and it aims to ban private citizens

0:10

like you and me from being able to

0:12

organize and train with Firearms this

0:14

bill is a direct violation of our

0:16

constitutional rights to keep in bare

arms to be trained and it stirred up a 0:20

lot of conversation online and a lot of

0:22

people are talking about this bill so

0:24

let's break down what is happening in

0:26

this

0:27

[Music]

0:28

bill

0:32

also thank one of the main supporters of

0:34

the channel which is first form first

0:35

form is a company that is helping me

0:37

right now along with my fitness journey

0:38

and they're offering you guys actually

0:40

something really cool you can get you

0:41

know of course their supplements their

0:43

protein and all those things that are

0:44

amazing that I use all the time but if

0:46

you sign up using the links Down Below

0:48

in the detail section in the pin comment

0:50

you can get a 30-day free trial of their

0:52

new app so if you're signing up for the

0:53

first time check those links out and you

0:55

will get that 30-day free trial as I

mentioned in the intro in this video

0:59

we're going to be breaking down down and

1:00

talking about something very significant

1:02

it's a new bill that has been introduced

1:03

into Congress that has essentially made

1:06

a lot of waves and a lot of people are

1:07

talking about it the bill is s 3589 also

1:11

known as the preventing private

1:13

paramilitary activity Act of 2024 this

1:16

bill was introduced by Senator Edward

1:18

Marky and it's currently in the Senate

1:20

Judiciary Committee for consideration

1:23

now like I said this is concerning and

1:25

the bill has got a lot of conversation

1:27

you've had a lot of people talking about

1:29

it and doing videos on it and even

1:30

people outside the realm of the Second

1:32

Amendment who often don't even talk

1:33

about these types of bills you've had

1:35

people like uh Mike lover do videos on

1:37

it I believe Tim Kennedy did videos on

1:39

it and a lot of other trainers and

people have been talking about this bill 1:42

because how concerning it is the goal of

1:44

this bill is to give the government

1:45

along with private citizens the ability

1:47

to prevent others from being able to

1:49

train with Firearms that's right they're

1:51

targeting your ability to train and

1:53

trying to outlaw it so let's break down

1:55

some of the language in this bill and

1:56

then we need to talk about how we can

1:58

stop this now the general goal this bill

2:00

is to make an offense out of people

2:02

getting together and training together

2:03

with Firearms or other so-called

2:05

dangerous items the bill states that it

2:08

shall be unlawful to knowingly in a

2:10

circumstance described in subsection B

2:13

while acting as part of or on behalf of

2:15

a private paramilitary organization and

2:18

armed with a firearm explosive or

2:20

incendiary device or other dangerous

2:22

weapon one publicly Patrol drill or

engaging techniques capable of causing

2:27

bodily injury or death two interfere

2:31

with interrupt or attempt to interfere

2:32

with or interrupt government operations

2:35

or a government proceeding three

2:37

interfere with or intimidate other

2:39

another person in that person's exercise

2:42

of any right under the Constitution of

2:44

the United States four assume the

2:46

functions of a law enforcement officer

2:48

peace officer or public official whether

2:50

or not acting under the color of Law and

2:52

thereby assert Authority or report to a

2:54

certaint authority over another person

2:56

without the consent of that person or

2:58

five train to to engage in any activity

3:01

described in paragraphs 1-4 the

3:03

concerning language that a lot of people

3:05

are pointing to is actually subsection 5

3:07

which indicates that if this bill were

3:09

to pass it would be illegal to even

3:11

train or practice in a group setting in

any way that may include techniques that 3:15

are capable of causing bodily injury or

3:18

other types of harms to anyone else well

3:21

if you really think about that that's

3:22

essentially all Farms training of course

3:24

when you train with a firearm in a group

3:26

setting if you go to a training course

3:28

or just train with a bunch of buddies if

3:30

you do drills and things like that

3:31

obviously the use of a firearm could be

3:33

training in a way that's capable of

3:35

causing bodily injury and so the broad

3:37

application of this language could

3:39

Target that type of conduct now the

3:41

proponents of this bill claim that there

3:42

is Nuance in this bill that they claim

3:44

the bill is only targeting towards

3:46

private paramilitary organizations and

3:48

private militia and things like that but

3:50

in this bill the definition of those

3:51

organizations are simply any group of

3:53

three or more people that have you know

some sort of organization or maybe some 3:57

sort of structure so again they say that

4:00

they have this definition of what those

4:01

organizations are but when you look at

4:03

the definition it's broad enough to

4:05

include just simply any groups of three

4:07

or more people that are training or

4:09

joining together or have some sort of

4:10

structure I think that fact has a lot of

4:12

people very concerned especially Farms

4:13

training companies that you know have

4:15

businesses that are structured in ways

4:17

where they of course have three or more

4:19

people maybe three or more trainers

4:20

where they go out they go to multiple

4:22

States they train large groups of

4:24

law-abiding people who just want to get

4:25

more proficient with Firearms again this

4:28

is concerning to not only those training

4:30

groups those training companies but also

4:32

those private citizens like me and you

4:34

who want to go out train and get more

proficient with our Firearms now under

4:38

this bill what are the circumstances

4:39

that will trigger this language and make

4:41

your conduct unlawful a travel across a

4:44

state line or national border or B the

4:47

use of the channels facilities or

4:49

instrumentalities of Interstate or

4:51

foreign Commerce two involves a firearm

4:54

explosive or incendiary device or

4:56

dangerous weapon that has traveled in

4:58

Interstate or foreign Commerce three

5:01

involves the use of ammunition or a

5:03

so-called large capacity ammunition

5:05

feeding device that has traveled in

5:07

Interstate or foreign Commerce B

5:09

obstructs delays or effects Interstate

5:11

or foreign Commerce or five occurs

5:14

wholly within any Commonwealth territory

5:17

or possession of the United States again

5:19

the triggering conduct that they include

5:21

right there is very broad first if you

5:23

are training and maybe a training

company and you travel across state

5:27

lines well that would trigger this

5:28

conduct in would be unlawful also under

5:31

subsection A2 the fact that you use a

5:33

firearm that has traveled in interstate

5:35

commerce would also make the conduct

5:37

unlawful that would trigger this

5:38

language as well because of course if

5:40

you're training with a firearm the

5:42

likelihood is that that firearm that you

5:43

purchased and came into possession of at

5:45

some point cross state lines and affects

5:48

interstate commerce is what their claim

5:49

is also they include magazines as well

5:52

so it's not even just the fire itself

5:53

that could trigger this language but

5:55

it's also the magazines but they even

5:57

include even broader catchall language

5:59

which simply means that if you train in

6:01

a commonwealth or any territory of the

6:03

US it also triggers this language and

6:06

could potentially make that conduct

unlawful so they have that broad

6:08

language of Simply being in any

6:10

territory of the US could trigger this

6:12

language so hopefully you guys see why

6:14

so many people are concerned about this

6:16

language and this bill the language is

6:18

Broad and vague enough that it can be

6:20

used to shut down all law abiding people

6:21

from being able to train with firearms

6:23

and also a lot of training companies are

6:25

very concerned about this language under

6:27

this bill the penalties for the conduct

6:29

that they are prohibiting includes the

6:30

forfeiture of that firearm or other

6:32

items that you may be using during that

6:34

training that means that if enforced

6:36

against you your Firearms will be

6:38

confiscated along with any potentially

6:40

other items that you're using magazine

6:42

ammunition belts um you know body armor

6:45

any of those items can be forfeited but

6:47

on top of that there are also criminal

penalties it states that an action that

6:52

violates this bill but does not result

6:54

in bodily injury can be punishable by no

6:57

more than oneyear imprisonment that's

6:59

right right this bill language is Broad

7:01

enough to Simply make training

7:03

potentially in groups of three or more

7:04

people in an organized fashion doing

7:06

drills and things like that could

7:08

potentially subject people to one year's

7:10

imprisonment and if the conduct does in

7:12

some way cause bodily injury then that

7:15

can be punishable up to 5 years in

7:16

prison now outside of just the criminal

7:19

aspect of this the very concerning part

7:21

of this bill that a lot of people I

7:22

think are over looking is the

7:24

enforcement mechanism that's included in

7:25

this language essentially the issue is

7:28

who can enforce this bill against

7:30

private people and these types of groups

7:32

well under the language not only can the

Attorney General come after you and file 7:37

these types of actions but also private 7:39

citizens can file civil suits against

7:41

you to prevent your conduct and then

7:43

also trigger this language the language

7:45

states that any person injured as a

7:47

result of any violation of section 2742

7:50

may bring a civil action individually or

7:52

jointly with other grieved persons in an

7:55

appropriate District Court of the United

7:57

States for preventative relief including

8:00

an application for a permanent or

8:01

temporary injunction restarting order or

8:04

other order or for damages incurred as a

8:07

result of any violation of section 2742

8:10

including reasonable attorney fees and

8:12

costs that language means that this bill

8:15

creates a private right of action where

8:17

any anti-gun Karen like I mentioned can

8:19

bring a civil suit against you or a

8:21

training company to shut them down and

8:24

prevent their ability to go out and

train now advocates for this bill claim

8:28

that it's simply targeting towards

8:29

private groups private militias or other

8:31

organized groups you know that go out in

8:33

public with firearms and things like

8:35

that but the reality is that this bill

8:38

is so Broad and so vague that it touches

8:40

way more on just those specific aspects

8:43

but even if it were just targeting those

8:45

specific aspects those groups which they

8:47

are trying to ban are also allowed to

8:50

engage in that conduct under the Second

8:51

Amendment historically the second

8:53

amendment protects private individuals

8:55

and their ability to organize together

8:57

and to train it build that directly bans

9:00

that type of conduct is a clear

9:02

violation of the Second Amendment and

9:04

that's why this bill must not pass now

9:07

with the upcoming election and the

9:08

potential unres you know about the

9:10

election and things like that popping up

I wouldn't be surprised if they're

9:13

pushing hard for this bill if they try

9:15

to still pass it also I think the

9:17

situation in Texas with the border and

9:19

multiple private groups heading out

9:21

there to try to Aid support in some way

9:24

I think that would also make them a

9:25

little bit more aggressive on this type

9:26

of language because they want to stop

9:28

that conduct so so that's the breakdown

9:29

of the bill that a lot of people are

9:31

talking about that's the legal language

9:33

those are some of the broad-reaching

9:33

implications some of the nuances about

9:36

how this bill is structured please share

9:38

this video around so people will know

9:39

about what's going on legally with this

9:41

bill what are the illegal implications

9:43

and so that people are more informed so

9:45

they take action against this if you

9:46

guys have any questions go and comment

9:48

down below and I will try to answer to

the best of my ability also if you like

9:51

this video and you like support the

9:53

channel one of the best ways to do that

9:54

is to like comment and subscribe all

9:56

those things help to Fu the algorithm

9:58

and it signals to YouTube that you guys

9:59

see value in these videos and in this

10:01

type of TOA news but as always thank you

10:03

all for watching don't forget to like

10:05

And subscribe and never forget this s

10:07

with Bill b scholars in this nation will

10:08

be maintained Barm

10:13

[Music]

10:16

Scholars